



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,939	09/26/2003	John M. Monnich	MONN-310	9455	
28584 75	590 03/23/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP SUITE 2200			LEWIS, AARON J		
353 SACRAMENTO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/672,939	MONNICH, JOHN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	AARON J. LEWIS	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e. cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 265					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 28-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic onty documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/2003.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/672,939

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting,

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 28-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 2,362,240 in view of Bonilla ('240).

The difference between patent claim 18 and instant application claim 28 is a sliding member longitudinally slidable on the breathing tube into contact with the cup member upon submersion of the splash guard so as to substantially prevent passage of water between the cup member and the sliding member.

Bonilla, in a snorkel, teaches a sliding member (22) longitudinally slidable on the breathing tube (11) into contact with the cup member upon submersion of the splash guard for the purpose of substantially preventing passage of water between the cup member and the sliding member (page 1, col.2, lines 27-29 and page 2, col.1, lines 35-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,939

Art Unit: 3743

It would have been obvious to modify the snorkel defined by patent claim 18 to include a sliding member longitudinally slidable on the breathing tube because it would have substantially prevented passage of water between the cup member and the sliding member responsive to submersion of the snorkel as taught by Bonilla.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedini et al. ('568).

As to claim 46, Bedini et al. discloses a method of blocking passage of water into a submerged snorkel, comprising the steps of: (a) providing a snorkel including an elongate breathing tube (3), an opening (3' of fig.6) in an upper portion of the breathing tube, an opening (col.2, lines 39-42) in a lower portion of the snorkel, and a mouthpiece (4) positioned at the opening, the snorkel further including a splash guard (7,8) having a cup member on an upper end of the breathing tube, and a sliding member (10) longitudinally slidable (col.3, lines 12-14) on the breathing tube; (b) positioning the snorkel on the head of a swimmer, with the swimmer's mouth in contact with the mouth piece and in fluid communication with the opening (figs.1 and 2); (c) causing the swimmer to submerge the breathing tube in water, submersion of the splash guard causing the sliding member to slide into contact with the cup member and to

Art Unit: 3743

substantially prevent passage of water between the cup member and the sliding member (col.3, lines 18-23 and lines 28-33).

Claim Objections

5. Claim 46 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, "... mouthpiece positioned at the opening..." is unclear as to exactly which opening (as two different openings are previously defined) the mouthpiece is positioned.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The balance of the art is cited to show relevant snorkels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AARON J. LEWIS whose telephone number is (571) 272-4795. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY A. BENNETT can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,939

Art Unit: 3743

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AARON J. LEWIS Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Aaron J. Lewis March 09, 2005